

Artificial Intelligence in Education: Benefits, Challenges and Future Scope

Pratiksha Devidas Solat*, Prasad Devidas Solat

Jai Hind Institute Research and Management.

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly being used in education to support learning, teaching, assessment, and academic administration. Examples include adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring tools, automated feedback, learning analytics, and generative AI assistants. This paper reviews selected published research and international guidance to examine the potential benefits, major risks, and future directions of AI in education. The literature indicates that AI can support personalized learning, provide timely feedback, assist educators with planning, and improve access to learning support. At the same time, its use raises concerns about privacy, bias, accuracy, unequal access, academic integrity, and over-reliance on automated systems. This paper uses a narrative literature-review approach rather than reporting a new experiment or student survey. It concludes that AI should support—not replace—educators and learners, and that responsible adoption requires transparency, data protection, teacher preparation, human oversight, and evaluation in real educational settings.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Education, Personalized Learning, Generative AI, Data Privacy

Academic Integrity

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence refers broadly to computational systems that perform tasks associated with capabilities such as prediction, language processing, pattern recognition, and decision support. In education, AI applications include intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment, learning analytics, and generative tools that produce explanations, summaries, examples, or drafts. These systems may help learners receive support at different speeds and help teachers manage some routine tasks.

However, the presence of AI does not automatically improve learning. The value of a tool depends on the quality of its output, how it is integrated into teaching, whether learners have equitable access, and how personal data are handled. UNESCO's guidance emphasizes a human-centred approach and highlights the need to protect privacy and validate educational uses carefully [1]. This makes it important to consider both opportunities and limitations.

2. Problem Statement

Educational institutions and students are encountering AI tools rapidly, while questions remain about when these tools improve learning, how they should be used ethically, and what safeguards are needed. Without clear guidance, students may rely on inaccurate outputs, disclose sensitive information, or submit AI-generated work without understanding it. Teachers and institutions also need practical approaches for checking quality and maintaining meaningful human involvement.

3. Aim and Objectives

- To describe common applications of AI in education.
- To summarize potential benefits reported in selected published research.
- To identify key risks and implementation challenges.
- To suggest practical principles for responsible use of AI in educational settings.
- To identify areas where further empirical research is needed.

4. Research Questions

1. How are AI technologies being used in education?

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



2. What potential benefits for learners and teachers are reported in the literature?
3. What ethical, technical, and educational challenges are associated with AI use?
4. What safeguards can help educational institutions use AI responsibly?

5. Review of Literature

Chiu and colleagues reviewed research on AI in education published over the 2012–2021 period.

Their review organized AI applications across learning, teaching, assessment, and administration, and discussed learning outcomes as well as challenges. This broad view shows that AI in education is not limited to chatbots; it also includes systems for feedback, learner support, assessment, and institutional decision making [2].

Wang and colleagues conducted a systematic literature review using bibliometric analysis of 2,223 research articles and content analysis of a selected set of 125 papers. They described research on adaptive learning and personalized tutoring, intelligent assessment and management, profiling and prediction, and emerging AI products. Their review also noted the diversity of research methods and the existence of underexplored areas [3].

Garzón, Patiño, and Marulanda published a systematic review in 2025 examining empirical studies on AI in educational settings. Their synthesis reported potential benefits such as personalized instruction, learning outcomes, and student motivation, alongside challenges involving ethical use, teacher acceptance, and possible dependence on AI tools [4].

UNESCO's 2023 guidance recommends a human-centred approach to generative AI in education and research. It discusses privacy, age-appropriate use, institutional validation, equity, and the need for policies and human capacity-building [1]. Taken together, these sources suggest that AI adoption should be guided by evidence, educational purpose, and safeguards rather than novelty alone.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This draft uses a narrative literature-review methodology. It draws on selected scholarly review articles and international guidance published by UNESCO. Sources were chosen for their direct relevance to AI

applications in education, reported benefits, risks, and responsible implementation.

The paper summarizes and compares themes across these sources rather than collecting new data from students or teachers.

Scope and limitation: This is a preliminary, non-systematic review of a small set of sources. It does not claim to have searched every academic database, applied a complete PRISMA screening process, or conducted primary research. Before formal submission or publication, the author should verify all references, expand the literature search, and follow the institution's required format.

7. Applications of AI in Education

7.1 Personalized and Adaptive Learning

Adaptive systems can adjust practice questions, difficulty, or learning recommendations based on a learner's responses. Used well, this may help learners work at an appropriate pace.

Recommendations should remain explainable and should not limit students to narrow pathways based on incomplete data.

7.2 Intelligent Tutoring and Learning Assistance

AI assistants can explain concepts in different ways, generate practice questions, and offer hints.

Learners should be encouraged to check important claims against textbooks, teachers, and reliable sources because AI outputs can be incorrect or incomplete.

7.3 Feedback and Assessment

AI can assist with formative feedback, draft review, and some repetitive assessment tasks. Final judgments that affect students should include appropriate human review, especially when automated systems may misunderstand context, language differences, or disability-related needs.

7.4 Teacher Planning and Administration

Educators may use AI to brainstorm lesson activities, create alternative explanations, or draft learning materials. Teachers remain responsible for checking accuracy, suitability, accessibility, and alignment with the curriculum.

8. Potential Benefits

- Personalized support: learners may receive practice and explanations suited to their current needs.
- Timely feedback: formative tools may help learners identify errors and areas requiring further practice.
- Teacher assistance: AI may reduce some routine drafting and preparation work, leaving more time for direct support.
- Accessibility: text simplification, translation, and alternative explanations may help some learners, provided quality is checked.
- Learning insights: responsibly designed analytics may help educators identify patterns and plan additional support.

9. Challenges and Risks

Accuracy and fabricated information: Generative AI can produce confident but incorrect answers.

Students and teachers should verify factual claims and references.

Privacy and data protection: Prompts may contain personal or institutional information. Users should avoid entering sensitive data and follow institutional policies.

Bias and fairness: AI systems may perform differently across languages, backgrounds, and contexts.

Decisions should be monitored for unequal effects.

Academic integrity: Submitting AI-generated work as one's own can undermine learning and violate institutional rules. AI assistance should be disclosed where required.

Over-reliance: If learners use AI to bypass thinking, practice, and problem -solving, it may weaken the learning process. Tasks should require explanation and reflection.

Digital inequality: Differences in device access, connectivity, language support, and paid features can produce unequal opportunities.

Teacher training and governance: Educators need training, clear policies, and time to evaluate tools before relying on them .

DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature presents AI in education as a set of tools with context-dependent outcomes,

rather than a single intervention that is beneficial in every situation. Personalized feedback and support can be useful, but effectiveness depends on instructional design, accuracy, teacher involvement, and learner needs. Similarly, AI may save preparation time, but any efficiency gains must be balanced against the time needed to check outputs and protect student information.

A responsible implementation strategy should begin with a clear educational problem. Institutions can then evaluate whether an AI tool improves the learning experience compared with existing approaches. Evaluation should consider learning outcomes, student and teacher experience, accessibility, error rates, privacy, and unintended effects. Human oversight is especially important for high-stakes decisions.

11. Recommendations

5. Create clear institutional rules describing acceptable AI assistance and disclosure requirements.

6. Train students to verify AI-generated claims and references using credible sources.

7. Avoid entering personal, confidential, or sensitive information into tools without approved safeguards.

8. Keep teachers involved in instructional decisions and high-stakes assessment.

9. Evaluate tools for accuracy, accessibility, bias, privacy, and suitability before adoption.

10. Provide equivalent learning opportunities for students who cannot access particular AI tools.

11. Conduct local studies with informed consent and appropriate ethical review before drawing conclusions about effectiveness.

12. Future Scope

Future research could examine how AI-supported learning affects understanding and retention over time, how students use AI across different subjects and languages, and which forms of teacher guidance promote independent thinking. Further work is also needed on privacy-preserving learning analytics, fairness across diverse learner groups, and practical institutional policies. In India, studies could explore language accessibility, differences in connectivity, and the needs of colleges with different levels of digital infrastructure. Any such study should define a

clear sample, method, ethical safeguards, and measurable outcomes.

CONCLUSION

AI has the potential to support education through personalized practice, learning assistance, feedback, and teacher planning. However, benefits are not automatic and must be weighed against concerns about accuracy, privacy, bias, equity, academic integrity, and over-reliance. The literature reviewed here supports a human-centred approach in which AI complements educators and learners rather than replacing their judgment. Clear policies, teacher preparation, critical evaluation, and further empirical research are essential for responsible adoption. Since this paper is a literature-based draft, its conclusions should be refined after a broader review and, if required, original research.

REFERENCES

1. Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535>
2. Chiu, T. K. F., Xia, Q., Zhou, X., Chai, C. S., & Cheng, M. (2023). Systematic literature review on opportunities, challenges, and future research recommendations of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100118>
3. Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 252, 124167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167>
4. Garzón, J., Patiño, E., & Marulanda, C. (2025). Systematic Review of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Trends, Benefits, and Challenges. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 9 (8), 84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mti9080084>

HOW TO CITE: Pratiksha Devidas Solat, Prasad Devidas Solat, Artificial Intelligence in Education: Benefits, Challenges and Future Scope, *Int. J. Sci. R. Tech.*, 2026, 3 (10), 1186-1189. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23657839>