

Blended Learning Models With Immersive Technologies

Ram Mehar^{1*}, Himani²

¹Department of Education, CDOE, Panjab University, Chandigarh

²JRF, Department of Education, Panjab University, Chandigarh

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the integration of immersive technologies within blended learning models to enhance pedagogical outcomes in contemporary educational environments. The convergence of traditional face-to-face instruction with technology-mediated learning has evolved significantly with the emergence of virtual reality, augmented reality and mixed reality platforms. These immersive technologies create experiential learning environments that transcend the limitations of conventional classroom settings and standard digital platforms. The theoretical framework examines how immersive technologies complement established blended learning models, including the rotation model, flex model, enriched virtual model and flipped classroom approach. Drawing upon constructivist learning theories, experiential learning paradigms and cognitive load theory, this paper analyses the pedagogical foundations that support the integration of immersive technologies in blended contexts. The discussion encompasses the theoretical advantages of immersive technologies in fostering spatial reasoning, procedural knowledge acquisition, collaborative learning and emotional engagement. Furthermore, this paper addresses the challenges associated with implementing immersive technologies in blended learning environments including technological infrastructure requirements, pedagogical training needs, cognitive overload concerns and accessibility considerations. The analysis reveals that successful integration requires careful alignment between learning objectives, technological affordances and instructional design principles. While immersive technologies present promising avenues for enriching blended learning experiences through enhanced presence, interactivity and contextualized learning, their effective implementation demands systematic consideration of pedagogical frameworks, learner characteristics, and institutional readiness. This theoretical exploration contributes to the growing discourse on technology-enhanced education by providing a comprehensive examination of how immersive technologies can be meaningfully integrated within blended learning models to create more engaging, effective and inclusive educational experiences. The paper concludes with implications for educational practitioners, instructional designers, and policymakers seeking to leverage immersive technologies within blended learning frameworks.

Keywords: Blended Learning, Immersive Technologies, Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality and Pedagogical Models

INTRODUCTION

The landscape of education has undergone transformative changes with the integration of digital technologies, fundamentally altering how knowledge is delivered, accessed and constructed (Graham, 2006). Blended learning, characterized by the purposeful combination of face-to-face instruction and technology-mediated learning experiences, has emerged as a dominant pedagogical approach in contemporary educational settings (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004). This instructional model seeks to harness the advantages of both traditional classroom interactions and digital learning environments,

creating synergistic educational experiences that transcend the limitations of either modality alone.

In recent years, the educational technology landscape has witnessed the rapid advancement and increasing accessibility of immersive technologies, including virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR) and mixed reality (MR) (Radianti et al., 2020). These technologies offer distinctive capabilities to create three-dimensional, interactive and contextualized learning environments that engage learners through multisensory experiences. Unlike conventional digital platforms that present information through two-dimensional interfaces, immersive technologies enable learners to interact with virtual objects,

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

navigate spatial environments and experience simulated scenarios with unprecedented levels of realism and engagement (Dalgarno & Lee, 2010).

The integration of immersive technologies within blended learning models represents a significant evolution in educational practice, offering new dimensions of interaction, visualization and experiential learning (Bower et al., 2017). This convergence creates opportunities to address longstanding pedagogical challenges, particularly in domains requiring spatial understanding, procedural skills and contextual application of knowledge. Furthermore, immersive technologies may enhance learner motivation, engagement and knowledge retention through embodied learning experiences that activate multiple cognitive and sensory pathways (Makransky & Petersen, 2021).

However, the integration of immersive technologies within blended learning contexts requires careful theoretical consideration of pedagogical frameworks, learning objectives and implementation strategies (Hamilton et al., 2021). The novelty and technological complexity of these tools present both opportunities and challenges for educators, instructional designers and educational institutions. This paper provides a comprehensive theoretical examination of blended learning models enhanced with immersive technologies, exploring the pedagogical foundations, theoretical advantages, implementation considerations and future directions of this emerging educational paradigm.

Theoretical Foundations of Blended Learning

1. *Defining Blended Learning*: Blended learning encompasses diverse configurations of face-to-face and technology-mediated instruction, unified by the intentional integration of both modalities to enhance learning outcomes (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Bonk and Graham (2006) conceptualizes blended learning as instructional systems that combine various delivery methods, instructional approaches and learning technologies. This integration is not merely additive but transformative, creating qualitatively different learning experiences through the synergistic combination of instructional modalities.

The theoretical justification for blended learning emerges from several pedagogical considerations. First, blended approaches offer increased flexibility and accessibility, allowing learners to access content and engage in learning activities according to individual schedules and preferences (Means et al., 2013). Second, blended models enable differentiated instruction by providing multiple pathways for content delivery and skill development. Third, the combination of face-to-face and online components facilitates the development of both cognitive skills and social competencies through varied interaction patterns (Bernard et al., 2014).

2. *Established Blended Learning Models*: Several established models provide frameworks for implementing blended learning in educational contexts. The rotation model involves learners alternating between different learning stations, including online and face-to-face components, within a structured schedule (Staker & Horn, 2012). The flex model emphasizes online learning as the primary delivery mechanism, with face-to-face support provided on an as-needed basis. The enriched virtual model combines online coursework with required face-to-face sessions, while the flipped classroom approach reverses traditional instruction by delivering content online and using class time for active learning activities (Dong-In, 2017). Each model reflects different assumptions about the role of technology, the nature of face-to-face interactions, and the degree of learner autonomy. These models provide foundational structures that can be adapted to incorporate immersive technologies, creating enhanced blended learning environments with expanded pedagogical affordances.

3. *Pedagogical Theories Supporting Blended Learning*: Constructivist learning theory provides significant theoretical support for blended learning approaches (Huang, 2002). Constructivism emphasizes active knowledge construction through authentic tasks, social interaction and reflection. Blended learning environments facilitate constructivist pedagogy by providing opportunities for both collaborative face-to-face activities and individualized digital exploration. The integration of immersive

technologies extends constructivist principles by enabling learners to manipulate virtual objects, explore spatial relationships and construct understanding through embodied interactions.

The Community of Inquiry framework, developed by Garrison et al. (1999), offers another theoretical lens for understanding blended learning effectiveness. This framework identifies three essential elements: cognitive presence, social presence and teaching presence. Blended learning environments support these elements through varied communication channels and interaction patterns. Immersive technologies enhance presence dimensions by creating shared virtual spaces that intensify the sense of co-presence and engagement.

Cognitive load theory provides insights into how blended learning and immersive technologies should be designed to optimize learning (Sweller et al., 2011). This theory distinguishes between intrinsic, extraneous and germane cognitive load, emphasizing the importance of managing cognitive resources during learning. Well-designed immersive experiences in blended contexts can reduce extraneous load through intuitive interfaces while enhancing germane load through meaningful, contextualized activities.

Immersive Technologies in Educational Contexts

The emergence of immersive technologies has fundamentally transformed the possibilities for creating engaging and experiential learning environments in education. These technologies represent a paradigm shift from passive content consumption to active participation in three-dimensional, interactive digital spaces that simulate real-world contexts. As educational institutions increasingly seek innovative approaches to enhance learning outcomes, immersive technologies have garnered significant attention for their potential to address longstanding pedagogical challenges.

- *Defining Immersive Technologies:* Immersive technologies encompass a spectrum of digital tools that create varying degrees of presence and interactivity within virtual or augmented environments. Virtual reality (VR) generates completely computer-generated environments that replace the physical world, typically

experienced through head-mounted displays (Freina&Ott, 2015). The augmented reality overlays digital information onto the physical environment, enhancing rather than replacing reality (Bacca et al., 2014). Mixed reality (MR) combines elements of both VR and AR, allowing digital and physical objects to coexist and interact in real-time. The defining characteristic of immersive technologies is their capacity to create a sense of presence the subjective experience of being in a virtual environment rather than the physical location where the user's body actually resides (Slater & Wilbur, 1997). This sense of presence is achieved through multisensory stimulation, spatial audio, haptic feedback, and responsive environmental interactions that simulate physical world experiences.

- *Pedagogical Affordances of Immersive Technologies:* Immersive technologies offer distinctive pedagogical affordances that distinguish them from conventional educational technologies. Dalgarno and Lee (2010) identify several unique characteristics, including enhanced spatial knowledge representation, experiential learning through virtual scenarios, increased learner motivation and engagement, contextualized learning environments, and collaborative learning in shared virtual spaces.

The ability to represent spatial information three-dimensionally enables learning in domains where spatial understanding is crucial, including anatomy, architecture, engineering and chemistry (Merchant et al., 2014). Immersive environments allow learners to explore molecular structures, navigate architectural designs, or examine anatomical systems from multiple perspectives, facilitating spatial cognition development.

Experiential learning is enhanced through immersive simulations that enable practice in realistic but safe environments (McKinney et al., 2022). Medical students can practice surgical procedures, engineering students can troubleshoot complex machinery and teacher education candidates can engage with simulated classroom management scenarios without risk to real patients, equipment or students. These authentic practice opportunities support the

development of procedural knowledge and professional competencies.

Emotional engagement represents another significant affordance of immersive technologies. Research by Makransky and Petersen (2021) demonstrates that immersive experiences can generate emotional responses that enhance memory consolidation and deepen learning. The sense of presence created by immersive environments activates emotional and motivational systems that sustain attention and effort during challenging learning tasks.

- *Current Applications in Education:* Immersive technologies have been implemented across diverse educational domains with varying degrees of success. In medical education, VR simulations provide opportunities for anatomical exploration and procedural practice (Pottle, 2019). Engineering education utilizes immersive environments for design visualization and systems analysis. Language learning applications employ VR to create immersive cultural contexts that enhance communicative competence and cultural understanding (Parmaxi, 2020).

Science education has particularly benefited from immersive technologies, with applications ranging from virtual laboratory experiences to astronomical exploration and biological system visualization (Pelargos et al., 2017). These applications address practical limitations of traditional science education, including equipment costs, safety concerns and the impossibility of directly observing certain phenomena. History and social studies education utilizes immersive technologies to reconstruct historical events and locations, enabling experiential learning about past societies and cultures.

Integration of Immersive Technologies in Blended Learning Models

- *Theoretical Rationale for Integration:* The integration of immersive technologies within blended learning models represents a natural evolution that combines the flexibility and structure of blended approaches with the experiential richness of immersive environments. This integration is theoretically justified by several considerations. First, immersive technologies complement face-to-face instruction

by providing experiences that are impractical or impossible in physical classrooms (Hamilton et al., 2021). Second, immersive components can be integrated flexibly within various blended learning models, adapting to different instructional objectives and learner needs. Third, the combination allows for scaffolded learning experiences where face-to-face sessions provide conceptual foundations and immersive activities enable application and practice.

The theoretical framework for this integration draws upon multiple learning theories. Experiential learning theory, articulated by Kolb (1984), emphasizes learning through concrete experiences followed by reflection and conceptualization. Immersive technologies facilitate concrete experiences in virtual contexts, while face-to-face sessions in blended models provide opportunities for collaborative reflection and conceptual development. Situated learning theory suggests that knowledge is best acquired in contexts that reflect authentic application environments (Lave & Wenger, 1991). Immersive technologies create situated learning contexts within the broader structure of blended learning programs.

- *Implementation Models:* Several implementation models have emerged for integrating immersive technologies within blended learning frameworks. The supplemental model uses immersive experiences as optional enrichment activities that complement core instruction delivered through traditional blended approaches. This model offers flexibility but may result in limited engagement if immersive components are perceived as non-essential.

The integrated model embeds immersive activities as required components within the instructional sequence, ensuring all learners engage with immersive content. In this model, immersive experiences are carefully aligned with learning objectives and assessment criteria. The cyclical model alternates between immersive experiences, face-to-face discussions, and independent online work, creating iterative learning cycles that progressively deepen understanding.

The scaffolded model sequences learning experiences from simple to complex, using immersive

technologies to provide increasingly sophisticated practice opportunities. Initial face-to-face and online components establish foundational knowledge, followed by immersive simulations that require application of learned concepts in realistic scenarios. Subsequent face-to-face sessions facilitate reflection, peer learning and skill refinement.

- *Design Considerations:* Effective integration of immersive technologies within blended learning requires careful instructional design that considers multiple factors. Alignment between learning objectives, immersive activities and assessment methods is essential for ensuring coherence and effectiveness (Huang et al., 2010). Immersive experiences should address specific learning outcomes that are enhanced by the unique affordances of these technologies rather than serving as technological novelties. Cognitive load management is crucial, as immersive environments can overwhelm learners with excessive sensory information or navigational complexity (Makransky et al., 2019). Design strategies to manage cognitive load include progressive introduction of immersive features, clear instructional guidance, simplified interfaces for novice users and structured activities that focus attention on learning-relevant elements.

The balance between immersive and traditional learning activities requires consideration of learning objectives, content characteristics and learner preferences. Not all learning outcomes are equally suited to immersive technologies, and excessive reliance on any single modality may diminish effectiveness. Optimal designs leverage the distinctive strengths of each component within the blended framework. Technical infrastructure and support significantly influence implementation success. Adequate hardware, software, technical assistance and user training are necessary prerequisites. Institutions must consider equipment costs, maintenance requirements, compatibility issues and the need for ongoing technical support when implementing immersive technologies in blended programs.

Advantages of Immersive Enhanced Blended Learning

- *Enhanced Engagement and Motivation:* Immersive technologies integrated within blended learning frameworks demonstrate potential for significantly enhancing learner engagement and motivation (Mystakidis, 2022). The novelty effect of immersive experiences, combined with interactive and visually compelling environments, captures learner attention and sustains engagement during challenging learning activities. While novelty effects may diminish over time, the intrinsic engagement generated by meaningful immersive experiences can persist through well-designed instructional activities. The sense of presence created by immersive environments fosters emotional connections to content that enhance motivation and persistence. When learners feel genuinely present in virtual environments, they invest greater effort in learning tasks and demonstrate increased willingness to engage with complex material. The integration of game-like elements, narrative structures and collaborative challenges within immersive environments further enhances motivational appeal.
- *Improved Spatial and Procedural Learning:* Spatial learning outcomes are particularly enhanced through immersive technologies within blended contexts. The three-dimensional representation of objects, environments and systems facilitates development of spatial reasoning skills that are difficult to cultivate through two-dimensional media (Merchant et al., 2014). Learners can manipulate objects, view structures from multiple angles and navigate complex spaces, creating mental representations that support spatial problem-solving. Procedural learning benefits from immersive practice opportunities that allow skill rehearsal in realistic but safe environments. The ability to repeatedly practice procedures, receive immediate feedback and experience consequences of actions without real-world risks supports development of procedural competence (McKinney et al., 2022). Integration with face-to-face instruction enables expert modeling, peer observation and guided

practice that complement immersive self-directed practice.

- *Contextualized and Authentic Learning:* Immersive technologies enable creation of authentic learning contexts that reflect real-world application environments. This contextual authenticity enhances transfer of learning from educational settings to professional practice (Radianti et al., 2020). Learners who practice skills in realistic simulated environments develop situated knowledge that generalizes more effectively to authentic application contexts than knowledge acquired through abstract instruction alone. The ability to recreate inaccessible or dangerous environments expands learning opportunities beyond physical constraints. Medical students can explore anatomical structures at microscopic and macroscopic scales, history students can experience historical events, and environmental science students can investigate ecosystems across diverse climates and timeframes.
- *Collaborative Learning Opportunities:* Shared immersive environments within blended learning contexts facilitate collaborative learning through co-presence in virtual spaces (Bower et al., 2017). Learners can work together on complex problems, engage in collaborative design activities and participate in simulated professional scenarios that require teamwork and communication. These collaborative immersive experiences complement face-to-face group work by providing alternative contexts for collaboration that may elicit different interaction patterns and problem-solving approaches.
- *Challenges and Limitations:* While the integration of immersive technologies within blended learning models offers considerable pedagogical promise, successful implementation confronts multiple substantial challenges that span technological, pedagogical, cognitive and equity dimensions. These challenges must be systematically addressed to realize the potential benefits of immersive-enhanced blended learning environments. Understanding these limitations is essential for developing realistic implementation strategies and evidence-based solutions that can
- advance the field toward more effective and equitable practices.
- *Technological and Infrastructure Barriers:* Implementation of immersive technologies within blended learning faces significant technological challenges. Hardware requirements, including VR headsets, high-performance computers and reliable internet connectivity, represent substantial financial investments (Hamilton et al., 2021). Many educational institutions, particularly in resource-limited contexts, lack the infrastructure necessary for widespread implementation of immersive technologies. Technical complexity poses additional challenges. Immersive technologies require technical expertise for setup, maintenance, troubleshooting and content development. The rapid pace of technological evolution creates ongoing needs for equipment updates and software compatibility management. Technical difficulties during instructional sessions can disrupt learning and diminish the effectiveness of carefully designed activities.
- *Pedagogical and Design Challenges:* Effective pedagogical integration of immersive technologies requires expertise that many educators currently lack. Faculty development programs must address not only technical skills but also pedagogical strategies for leveraging immersive technologies effectively within blended frameworks (Radianti et al., 2020). Educators need support in identifying appropriate applications, designing effective immersive activities and assessing learning outcomes from immersive experiences. Content development for immersive environments is resource-intensive, requiring specialized skills in 3D modeling, programming, instructional design and multimedia production. Many educators lack access to development tools, technical support, or time necessary for creating high-quality immersive content. While commercial content libraries are expanding, finding materials that align precisely with specific learning objectives remains challenging.
- *Cognitive and Physiological Concerns:* Immersive technologies can induce cognitive

overload when poorly designed, overwhelming learners with excessive information or navigational complexity (Makransky et al., 2019). The immersive nature of VR environments can increase cognitive demands compared to traditional media, potentially interfering with learning for some students. Careful design that manages cognitive load through structured activities, clear guidance and progressive complexity is essential.

Physiological issues including cybersickness, visual fatigue, and physical discomfort, affect some users of immersive technologies (Rebenitsch & Owen, 2016). Symptoms such as nausea, disorientation, eye-strain and headaches can limit immersive session duration and create negative associations with the technology. Individual susceptibility varies considerably, requiring flexible implementation strategies that accommodate learners who cannot tolerate extended immersive experiences.

Accessibility and Equity Considerations: Immersive technologies present accessibility challenges for learners with disabilities. Visual impairments, mobility limitations, and sensory processing differences may limit or prevent engagement with certain immersive applications (Hamilton et al., 2021). While assistive technologies and accessible design principles can address some barriers, ensuring equitable access requires intentional design efforts and alternative pathways for achieving learning objectives.

Socioeconomic factors create equity concerns regarding access to immersive technologies. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds may lack personal devices necessary for at-home access to immersive content, creating disparities in learning opportunities within blended programs. Institutional provision of equipment addresses some equity concerns but requires substantial financial investment and logistical coordination.

Future Directions and Implications

The integration of immersive technologies within blended learning frameworks stands at a pivotal juncture, with emerging technological advancements, evolving pedagogical practices and expanding research evidence collectively shaping the trajectory

of this educational paradigm. As institutions increasingly recognize the potential of immersive-enhanced blended learning, careful consideration of future developments, research priorities and practical implementation strategies becomes essential for maximizing educational impact while addressing persistent challenges.

- *Emerging Technologies and Trends:* Continued advancement in immersive technologies promises enhanced capabilities and accessibility. Improvements in wireless technologies, processing power, display resolution and haptic feedback will create increasingly realistic and responsive immersive environments. Artificial intelligence integration will enable adaptive immersive experiences that respond to individual learner needs, providing personalized feedback and scaffolding within virtual environments (Mystakidis, 2022).

The proliferation of mobile AR applications on smartphones and tablets may democratize access to immersive learning experiences. While less immersive than dedicated VR systems, mobile AR offers advantages in accessibility, cost and ease of integration within existing educational contexts. The development of web-based immersive platforms that operate within standard browsers without specialized hardware further expands accessibility.

Social VR platforms that emphasize multi-user interaction and collaborative activities are emerging as significant educational tools. These platforms enable synchronous collaborative learning in shared virtual spaces, supporting social constructivist pedagogies within blended learning frameworks. As social VR technologies mature, they may become central components of blended learning models, particularly for distance education programs.

- *Research Directions:* Substantial research is needed to develop evidence-based guidelines for integrating immersive technologies within blended learning. Comparative studies examining learning outcomes across different implementation models would inform design decisions. Longitudinal research investigating sustained effects of immersive learning experiences beyond immediate post-intervention

assessments would clarify the enduring impact of these technologies.

Research on individual differences in learning from immersive technologies would support personalized implementation strategies. Understanding how factors such as prior knowledge, spatial ability, cognitive style and technology proficiency influence learning in immersive environments would enable more effective instructional design. Investigation of optimal ratios and sequences of immersive, face-to-face and traditional online components within blended frameworks would guide implementation decisions.

- *Implications for Practice:* Educational practitioners integrating immersive technologies within blended learning should adopt systematic approaches grounded in instructional design principles. Beginning with clear specification of learning objectives, educators should identify specific outcomes that immersive technologies are particularly suited to address. Alignment between objectives, immersive activities, and assessment methods ensures coherence and effectiveness. Phased implementation strategies that begin with limited applications and progressively expand based on evaluation results reduce risks and enable refinement of practices. Pilot testing with small groups, gathering learner feedback and iterating designs based on evidence supports continuous improvement. Collaboration among educators, instructional designers and technical specialists facilitates effective implementation by combining diverse expertise.

Professional development programs should address both technical competencies and pedagogical strategies for immersive technologies. Ongoing support, peer collaboration opportunities and access to exemplary practices enable educators to develop confidence and expertise. Institutional commitment to providing necessary infrastructure, technical support and professional development is essential for successful integration.

Policy Considerations: Educational policymakers should consider implications of immersive technologies for curriculum frameworks, assessment practices and resource allocation. Policies that encourage innovation while ensuring quality,

accessibility and equity are needed to guide institutional adoption of immersive technologies. Funding mechanisms that support both initial implementation costs and ongoing maintenance requirements would facilitate broader adoption. Standards for quality, accessibility and interoperability of immersive educational content would benefit the field by ensuring that commercially available and locally developed materials meet minimum requirements for effectiveness and usability. Policies promoting open educational resources for immersive content would enhance accessibility and reduce financial barriers to implementation.

CONCLUSION

The integration of immersive technologies within blended learning models represents a significant advancement in educational practice, offering unique opportunities to enhance engagement, support experiential learning and create authentic learning contexts. The convergence of established blended learning frameworks with emerging immersive technologies creates pedagogical possibilities that transcend the capabilities of either approach alone. However, realizing the potential of immersive-enhanced blended learning requires careful attention to theoretical foundations, instructional design principles, implementation challenges and equity considerations. Successful integration demands alignment between learning objectives, technological affordances and assessment practices within coherent instructional frameworks. Educators must thoughtfully consider when and how immersive technologies add distinctive value to learning experiences rather than implementing technology for its own sake. The challenges associated with cost, technical complexity, cognitive demands and accessibility require systematic attention and evidence-based solutions. As immersive technologies continue to evolve and become more accessible, their role within blended learning will likely expand. Research, practice, and policy development should work in concert to establish evidence-based guidelines that maximize educational benefits while addressing implementation challenges and equity concerns. By grounding integration efforts in sound pedagogical theory, attending to learner needs and characteristics, and maintaining commitment to

accessibility and quality, educators can leverage immersive technologies to create blended learning experiences that are engaging, effective, and inclusive. The future of education increasingly lies in thoughtful integration of multiple modalities, technologies, and pedagogical approaches that collectively address the diverse needs of learners. Immersive-enhanced blended learning represents one promising direction for this evolution, offering pathways toward more engaging, experiential and effective educational experiences that prepare learners for complex and rapidly changing professional and personal contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Bacca, J., Baldiris, S., Fabregat, R., Graf, S., & Kinshuk. (2014). Augmented reality trends in education: A systematic review of research and applications. *Educational Technology & Society*, 17(4), 133-149.
2. Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Schmid, R. F., Tamim, R. M., & Abrami, P. C. (2014). A meta-analysis of blended learning and technology use in higher education: From the general to the applied. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 26(1), 87-122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-013-9077-3>
3. Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (2006). *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. Wiley+ ORM.
4. Bower, M., Lee, M. J. W., & Dalgarno, B. (2017). Collaborative learning across physical and virtual worlds: Factors supporting and constraining learners in a blended reality environment. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(2), 407-430. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12435>
5. Dalgarno, B., & Lee, M. J. W. (2010). What are the learning affordances of 3-D virtual environments? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(1), 10-32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.01038.x>
6. Doung-In, S. (2017). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. *Walailak Journal of Learning Innovations*, 3(2), 71-78.
7. Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: State of the art and perspectives. In *Proceedings of e-Learning and Software for Education* (pp. 133-141). Carol I National Defence University.
8. Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
9. Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles and guidelines*. Jossey-Bass.
10. Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2-3), 87-105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516\(00\)00016-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6)
11. Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3-21). Pfeiffer.
12. Hamilton, D., McKechnie, J., Edgerton, E., & Wilson, C. (2021). Immersive virtual reality as a pedagogical tool in education: A systematic literature review of quantitative learning outcomes and experimental design. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 8(1), 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-020-00169-2>
13. Huang, H. M. (2002). Toward constructivism for adult learners in online learning environments. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 33(1), 27-37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8535.00236>
14. Huang, W. H., Huang, W. Y., & Tschopp, J. (2010). Sustaining iterative game playing processes in DGBL: The relationship between motivational processing and outcome processing. *Computers & Education*, 55(2), 789-797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.03.011>
15. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
16. Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
17. Makransky, G., & Petersen, G. B. (2021). The cognitive affective model of immersive learning (CAMIL): A theoretical research-based model of learning in immersive virtual reality. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(3), 937-958. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09586-2>

18. Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Adding immersive virtual reality to a science lab simulation causes more presence but less learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 60, 225-236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.12.007>
19. McKinney, B., Dbeis, A., Lamb, A., Frousiakis, P., & Sweet, S. (2022). Virtual reality training in unicompartamental knee arthroplasty: A randomized, blinded trial. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 79(6), 1526-1535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2022.06.013>
20. Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers College Record*, 115(3), 1-47.
21. Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 70, 29-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.07.033>
22. Mystakidis, S. (2022). Metaverse. *Encyclopedia*, 2(1), 486-497. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia2010031>
23. Parmaxi, A. (2020). Virtual reality in language learning: A systematic review and implications for research and practice. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 172-184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1765392>
24. Pelargos, P. E., Nagasawa, D. T., Lagman, C., Tenn, S., Demos, J. V., Lee, S. J., Bui, T. T., Barnette, N. E., Bhatt, N. S., Ung, N., Bari, A., Martin, N. A., & Yang, I. (2017). Utilizing virtual and augmented reality for educational and clinical enhancements in neurosurgery. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, 35, 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2016.09.002>
25. Pottle, J. (2019). Virtual reality and the transformation of medical education. *Future Healthcare Journal*, 6(3), 181-185. <https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2019-0036>
26. Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778>
27. Rebenitsch, L., & Owen, C. (2016). Review on cybersickness in applications and visual displays. *Virtual Reality*, 20(2), 101-125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-016-0285-9>
28. Slater, M., & Wilbur, S. (1997). A framework for immersive virtual environments (FIVE): Speculations on the role of presence in virtual environments. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 6(6), 603-616. <https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.1997.6.6.603>
29. Staker, H., & Horn, M. B. (2012). *Classifying K-12 blended learning*. Innosight Institute.
30. Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). *Cognitive load theory*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8126-4>

HOW TO CITE: Ram Mehar^{1*}, Himani², Blended Learning Models With Immersive Technologies, *Int. J. Sci. R. Tech.*, 2026, 3 (6), 1595-1604. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2093552>