

Green Procurement: Integrating Environmental Criteria into Purchasing Decisions

Samarth Tulshiram Shinde*, Vinod Malkar

Department of Master of Business, School of Commerce and Management, Sanjivani University, Korpargaon, Maharashtra 423603, India.

ABSTRACT

Green procurement (also called sustainable or environmentally preferable purchasing) is the practice of selecting goods, services, and works that have a lower environmental impact across their life cycle than competing alternatives. This paper outlines the concept, the policy and market drivers behind its adoption, common implementation practices, category-specific applications, performance measurement, and the barriers organizations face. It then proposes a practical, staged framework for putting green procurement into operation. The central argument is that procurement is one of the most effective levers for reducing an organization's environmental footprint, because most emissions and resource use sit in the supply chain rather than in direct operations.

Keywords: Green procurement, performance measurement, effective levers, direct operations.

INTRODUCTION

Organizations spend a large share of their budgets on external suppliers, and the environmental impact of those purchases (embedded carbon, water use, waste, toxic substances) usually exceeds that of their own operations. For many manufacturers, retailers, and service firms, the majority of reported greenhouse gas emissions fall under Scope 3, meaning indirect emissions from the value chain, rather than Scope 1 or 2. An organization that only improves its own buildings and vehicles therefore addresses a minority of its real footprint.

Governments are especially significant buyers. Public procurement commonly accounts for a double-digit share of gross domestic product in many economies, so public buyers can shape markets by creating reliable demand for greener products and by rewarding suppliers who invest in cleaner processes. Private organizations have similar influence within their own supplier networks.

Green procurement moves purchasing from a "lowest price" mindset toward "best value over the whole life cycle." This shift is not only environmental. It also changes how cost, quality, risk, and supplier relationships are judged. This paper explains what green procurement involves, why organizations adopt it,

how it is done in practice, and what makes it succeed or fail.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the concept and its scope. Sections 3 and 4 cover the drivers and the policy landscape. Section 5 describes key practices. Section 6 looks at applications in specific spending categories. Sections 7 to 9 discuss measurement, benefits, and challenges. Section 10 presents an implementation framework, Section 11 considers emerging trends, and Section 12 concludes.

2. Concept and Scope

Green procurement integrates environmental considerations at every stage of the buying process: need identification, specification, supplier selection, contract award, and contract management. A useful principle is to begin with the question of whether a purchase is needed at all, then whether an existing asset can be reused, repaired, or shared, and only then to compare new options on environmental performance. This sequence mirrors the waste hierarchy of refuse, reduce, reuse, recycle.

Green procurement covers three broad types of spending:

- **Products:** energy-efficient equipment, recycled-content paper, low-VOC paints, durable furniture, and so on

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



- **Services:** green cleaning, sustainable catering, low-emission logistics, and responsible waste management
- **Works:** green buildings, retrofits, and renewable energy installations

It is related to, but narrower than, sustainable procurement, which also includes social criteria such as labor rights, fair trade, and supplier diversity. In practice many organizations treat the two together, and international guidance such as ISO 20400 addresses sustainability as a whole. Green procurement is also distinct from corporate environmental management of an organization's own operations, although the two reinforce each other.

2.1 Life Cycle Thinking

The defining idea behind green procurement is life cycle thinking. A product's impact arises across raw material extraction, manufacturing, transport, use, and end of life. For some goods the use phase dominates, as with appliances and vehicles that consume energy for years. For others, such as furniture or clothing, the production phase carries most of the impact. Effective criteria target the stage where the impact is concentrated, rather than applying the same checklist to everything.

3. Drivers of Adoption

1. **Regulation and policy:** national green public procurement rules, emissions reporting requirements, and extended producer responsibility

schemes that make producers accountable for end-of-life products.

2. **Cost savings:** lower energy, water, and disposal costs over a product's life, which often outweigh a higher purchase price.
3. **Stakeholder pressure:** investors, customers, and employees increasingly expect environmental accountability and transparent supply chains.
4. **Risk management:** reducing exposure to resource scarcity, supply disruptions, price volatility, and reputational damage from supplier misconduct.
5. **Corporate commitments:** net-zero and ESG targets that depend on Scope 3 (supply chain) reductions, which cannot be achieved without supplier engagement.
6. **Market development:** aggregated demand from large buyers gives suppliers the confidence to invest in cleaner technologies and certified products.

4. Policy and Standards Landscape

Green procurement is supported by a growing body of law, guidance, and voluntary standards. The details differ by jurisdiction, so organizations should confirm the rules that apply to them. The examples below illustrate the range of approaches.

Table 1: Selected Frameworks Supporting Green Procurement

Framework	Relevance to green procurement
EU public procurement directives and Green Public Procurement (GPP) criteria	Allow contracting authorities to include environmental requirements and publish voluntary criteria for priority product groups.
Japan Green Purchasing Law	Requires national bodies to favor designated eco-friendly products and to publish procurement policies.
United States federal sustainable purchasing programs	Direct agencies to prefer products with recycled content, energy efficiency, and other environmental attributes.
Indian labeling schemes such as BEE star ratings and the BIS Ecomark	Provide recognized indicators of energy efficiency and environmental performance that buyers can reference in specifications.
ISO 20400 (Sustainable procurement guidance)	Provides an international guide to embedding sustainability in policy, strategy, and procurement processes.
ISO 14001 and ISO 14024-type ecolabels	Support verification of supplier environmental management and of third-party product claims.
GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard	Gives a common method for accounting for supply chain emissions that procurement can influence.

Many organizations also draw on sector-specific guidance, such as green building rating systems for

construction and energy performance standards for electronics and lighting. Using established standards

reduces the burden of writing original criteria and gives suppliers consistent expectations across buyers.

5. Key Practices

5.1 Life Cycle Costing and Total Cost of Ownership

Life cycle costing (LCC) compares total cost of ownership, including purchase, installation, energy, maintenance, and disposal, rather than purchase price alone. A more efficient motor or LED lighting system may cost more initially yet cost less over its service life. LCC makes this visible and gives procurement teams a defensible financial basis for choosing greener options, particularly where budgets are otherwise assessed annually.

5.2 Environmental Specifications

Specifications set minimum standards for energy efficiency, recycled content, durability, repairability, hazardous substance limits, and recyclability. Performance-based wording, such as a maximum energy use per unit of output, is generally preferable to prescribing a particular material or design, since it leaves room for supplier innovation and avoids favoring one vendor.

5.3 Eco-labels and Certifications

Recognized labels provide independent verification and reduce the effort of checking claims. Examples include ISO 14001 for supplier environmental management systems, Energy Star-type efficiency ratings, and FSC certification for wood and paper. Buyers should accept equivalent evidence from suppliers who hold different but comparable certifications, so that labels do not become unintended trade barriers.

5.4 Supplier Assessment and Engagement

Supplier questionnaires, audits, and scorecards covering emissions, energy use, waste, and regulatory

compliance help buyers distinguish genuine performers from those making unsupported claims. Engagement matters as much as assessment. Sharing targets early, holding supplier briefings, and offering feedback after tenders help smaller suppliers improve rather than simply excluding them.

5.5 Weighted Award Criteria

Where the rules permit, environmental performance can be given a defined share of the evaluation score, commonly 10 to 30 percent. A visible weighting signals that environmental quality is a real part of the decision and lets suppliers compete on it, instead of treating it as a pass or fail formality.

5.6 Contract Clauses and Management

Contracts should translate tender promises into obligations. Useful clauses include regular environmental reporting, take-back or recycling of end-of-life goods, packaging reduction, and a commitment to continuous improvement with agreed review points. Contract management is where many green procurement programs lose momentum, so reporting responsibilities should be assigned and reviewed on a schedule.

5.7 Circular Approaches

Circular procurement seeks to keep products and materials in use for longer. Options include leasing, refurbished or remanufactured goods, product-as-a-service models, and buy-back or take-back arrangements. These approaches can reduce both cost and resource use, and they shift some responsibility for maintenance and end-of-life management to the supplier.

6. Applications by Spending Category

The most effective green procurement programs concentrate effort where environmental impact and spending are highest. Table 2 summarizes typical priorities in common categories.

Table 2: Example Criteria by Procurement Category

Category	Typical environmental criteria	Verification examples
Office equipment and IT	Energy efficiency, repairability, upgradeability, take-back, restricted hazardous substances	Energy ratings, refurbishment and take-back terms
Paper and printing	Recycled content, responsibly sourced fiber, low-chemical processes	FSC or equivalent certification
Furniture	Durability, low emissions, certified timber, repairable design	Wood certification, emissions test reports
Cleaning services and products	Low-toxicity formulations, concentrate dosing, reduced packaging	Ecolabels, safety data sheets

Category	Typical environmental criteria	Verification examples
Food and catering	Seasonal and local sourcing, reduced food waste, reusable serviceware	Supplier declarations, waste reporting
Transport and logistics	Low-emission vehicles, route optimization, consolidated deliveries	Fleet data, fuel and emissions reports
Construction and facilities	Energy performance, low-carbon materials, waste management plans	Building rating systems, material declarations
Electricity and energy	Renewable share, verified certificates or direct supply agreements	Certificates of origin, supply contracts

Starting with a small number of high-impact categories, often energy, IT equipment, and facilities, allows teams to build experience and demonstrate savings before extending criteria across the full spend profile.

7. Measuring Performance

Without measurement, green procurement remains a statement of intent. Organizations need indicators that connect purchasing decisions to environmental outcomes and that are practical to collect. Common measures fall into three groups, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Example Indicators for Green Procurement Programs

Type	Indicator	Purpose
Process	Share of tenders containing environmental criteria	Shows how widely the policy is applied
Process	Share of suppliers assessed or certified	Tracks supplier coverage and engagement
Output	Share of spend on products meeting green specifications	Shows the scale of green buying
Outcome	Estimated Scope 3 emissions from purchased goods and services	Links purchasing to climate targets
Outcome	Energy, water, and waste savings from purchased items	Shows operational and financial benefit
Financial	Total cost of ownership savings versus baseline	Supports the business case

Data quality is a persistent difficulty. Spend-based emission estimates are quick but coarse, while supplier-specific data are more accurate but harder to obtain. A sensible path is to begin with spend-based estimates to identify hotspots, then request supplier-specific data for the largest categories and most influential suppliers.

8. Benefits

- **Environmental:** reduced greenhouse gas emissions, resource consumption, pollution, and waste
- **Financial:** lower long-term operating costs through energy, water, and disposal savings

- **Market:** stimulation of green innovation and growth of supplier capability in cleaner technologies
- **Compliance and reputation:** better regulatory readiness and stronger credibility with customers, investors, and the public
- **Relationships:** improved supplier collaboration through shared sustainability goals
- **Resilience:** reduced dependence on scarce or volatile resources and on high-risk suppliers

9. Challenges and Mitigation

Organizations meet predictable obstacles when introducing green procurement. Table 4 pairs the most common challenges with practical responses.

Table 4: Common Challenges and Practical Responses

Challenge	Practical response
Perceived higher upfront cost, especially where budgets are assessed annually	Use life cycle costing and show whole-life savings to finance teams; adjust budget rules where possible.
Limited data and expertise among procurement staff	Provide training, templates, and standard criteria; draw on external guidance and expert support.

Challenge	Practical response
Greenwashing and claims that are hard to verify	Prefer third-party certification, require documented evidence, and verify a sample of claims.
Fragmented supply chains and small suppliers lacking certifications	Accept equivalent evidence, phase in requirements, and offer guidance and longer lead times.
Weak alignment between procurement, finance, and sustainability teams	Create a cross-functional group with shared targets and clear accountability.
Lack of standard metrics for comparing environmental performance	Adopt recognized standards and a small set of consistent indicators.
Concern about legal challenge or restricting competition	Write criteria that are linked to the subject matter, transparent, and proportionate.

Leadership support is the factor that most often separates successful programs from stalled ones. When senior managers set targets, protect time for training, and recognize good practice, procurement staff have both the mandate and the confidence to apply environmental criteria.

10. A Practical Implementation Framework

The framework below organizes green procurement into six stages. It is intended to be iterative, so that results from the final stage inform the next round of planning.

Table 5: Six-stage Implementation Framework

Stage	Action
1. Assess	Spend analysis to identify high-impact categories
2. Plan	Set a green procurement policy and measurable targets
3. Specify	Embed environmental criteria and LCC in tenders
4. Select	Evaluate suppliers with weighted criteria and verified evidence
5. Manage	Monitor contracts, collect performance data, give feedback
6. Review	Report results, train staff, refine the policy

10.1 Stage Guidance

- **Assess.** Map annual spend by category and supplier, then estimate which categories carry the largest environmental impact and offer the greatest scope for change. Prioritize a few categories rather than attempting everything at once.
- **Plan.** Adopt a written policy approved at senior level, with specific targets such as the share of tenders using environmental criteria or a percentage reduction in purchased-goods emissions. Assign owners and timelines.

- **Specify.** Draft criteria that are clear, measurable, and tied to the subject of the contract. Use existing standards and labels where available, and include life cycle cost in the evaluation.
- **Select.** Apply published weighting, require evidence, and evaluate bids consistently. Record the reasons for award so that decisions can be explained and defended.
- **Manage.** Convert commitments into contract clauses, schedule reporting, and hold regular reviews. Recognize suppliers who improve and address underperformance early.
- **Review.** Report results internally and externally, compare them against targets, share lessons, and update the policy and criteria. Training should be refreshed as rules and markets change.

11. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Several developments are likely to shape green procurement in the coming years. First, digital tools such as e-procurement platforms, supplier portals, and product databases make it easier to embed criteria, collect evidence, and track results at scale. Second, supply chain traceability technologies, including digital product passports and improved emissions data exchange, promise better verification and less reliance on self-declared claims.

Third, disclosure rules and investor expectations are increasing pressure to report supply chain emissions with greater accuracy, which makes supplier-specific data more valuable. Fourth, circular economy policies are shifting attention toward repair, reuse, and product-as-a-service models. Finally, there is growing interest in combining environmental and social criteria so that buyers can address climate, biodiversity, and human rights risks within a single sustainable procurement approach. Future research could examine how these tools affect real emission outcomes,

how best to support small suppliers, and how procurement criteria can be designed to reduce greenwashing without raising barriers to entry.

CONCLUSION

Green procurement turns an organization's purchasing power into environmental impact. Because supply chains hold most of the footprint, procurement offers some of the largest and most cost-effective opportunities for improvement. Success depends on leadership commitment, reliable data, trained staff, clear and proportionate criteria, and genuine collaboration with suppliers.

Organizations that begin with a few high-impact categories, measure results, and scale up are most likely to achieve lasting gains. By evaluating purchases on whole-life value rather than price alone, buyers can lower costs, reduce risk, and encourage markets toward cleaner products and services.

REFERENCES

1. European Commission. (n.d.). *Green public procurement criteria and guidance documents*.
2. Greenhouse Gas Protocol. (2011). *Corporate value chain (Scope 3) accounting and reporting standard*. World Resources Institute & World Business Council for Sustainable Development.
3. International Organization for Standardization. (2017). *Sustainable procurement—Guidance (ISO 20400:2017)*.
4. Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 53–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2007.00202.x>
5. United Nations Environment Programme. (2012). *Sustainable public procurement: A global review*. United Nations Environment Programme.
6. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(3), 265–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2004.01.005>

HOW TO CITE: Samarth Tulshiram Shinde, Vinod Malkar, Green Procurement: Integrating Environmental Criteria into Purchasing Decisions, Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2026, 3 (10), 813-818. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23339247>