

Impact Of Dynamic Tariff Mechanisms On Energy Consumption Behavior: Evidence From APSPDCL Consumers

Subramanyam Mutyala^{1*}, Lakshmipathi K. N.², Buvaneswari P.³

¹*School of Management, CMR University (Lakeside Campus), Hennur-Bagalur Road, Chagalatti, Bengaluru-562149, Karnataka India.*

²*Amity Business School, Amity University, Bengaluru*

³*Department of Management, Global Academy of Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560098*

ABSTRACT

This research examines the role played by the dynamic tariff instruments in determining the energy consumption behaviour of the residential and commercial consumers in the spur of Andhra Pradesh Southern Power Distribution Company Limited (APSPDCL). Dynamic pricing models, including, but not limited to, Time-of-Day (ToD) tariffs, real-time pricing, and critical peak pricing are under consideration as solutions to control consumer behavior and reduce peak load stress. This study examines the responsiveness of different consumer groups to price signals in dynamic tariffs regimes based on the combination of survey data, smart meter analytics, and records of consumption using a combination of survey data, smart meter analytics, and consumption records. The paper also looks at the level of awareness, flexibility and the desire of consumers to change their convention patterns. Important results show that although commercial and high-income residential customers show quantifiable changes in consumption in reaction to ToD price, the low-income households show poor flexibility because of their restriction in usage and lack of education. The paper ends with some suggestions on how to enhance tariff design, consumer education, and infrastructure-based on a smart meter and billing transparency- to make the dynamic tariff effective in meeting the demand response objective and the financial sustainability of APSPDCL.

Keywords: Dynamic Tariff, Time-of-Day Pricing, Energy Consumption Behavior, APSPDCL, Demand Response, Smart Metering, Electricity Pricing, Consumer Behavior, Power Distribution, Tariff Reform.

INTRODUCTION

Dynamic tariff mechanisms have been noted to play a critical role in controlling the demand of electricity and enhancement of energy efficiency in smart grid systems. In contrast to the traditional flat-rate pricing, dynamic tariffs (e.g. Time-of-Use (ToU), Real-Time Pricing (RTP) and Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)) use variable price indicators to represent real-time grid load conditions in order to encourage customers to move consumption to off-peak (by imposing variable price signals). The strategy enhances the ability of demand-side control, minimises the stress of peak load, minimises the cost of obtaining power, and encourages sustainable consumption habits (Huang, 2024).

In India, power distribution companies are adopting increasing dynamism in pricing to deal with inefficiencies associated with a uniformity of tariffs systems and to transition towards consumer-reflective costs (Tyagi and Tongia, 2023). Andhra Pradesh Southern Power Distribution Company Limited (APSPDCL) with more than 1.45 crore consumers is a very important case study of dynamic tariff implementation (APSPDCL, 2025). The latest regulatory changes have made ToU tariff options available to both industrial and residential customers, through recent legislation, such as the Electricity Consumer Rights Amendment Rules, 2023, that allow the utilities to balance their peak-hour demand and provide greater stability to the grid (The Coastal Times, 2025).

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Consumer awareness, affordability factor and availability of enabling technologies are key pillars to the successful implementation of dynamic tariffs in such a setting as Andhra Pradesh. Since APSPDCL is a company with heterogeneous consumers including domestic, commercial and industrial consumers, it is important to learn the differences in consumer reactions to fluctuating tariffs to develop fair and efficient pricing processes.

This study examines the implication of dynamic tariff policies on the electricity consumption behavior of the various customer groups of APSPDCL using the prism of behavioral economics and the current energy policy models. The research combines the survey data and the real consumption data to establish the behavioral and socioeconomic factors that determine the tariff responsiveness

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Time-of-Use (ToU) and Real-Time Pricing (RTP) are important innovations in the management of demand-side, which provide quantifiable value to the energy efficiency and stress relief (Dutta and Mitra, 2017). Empirical studies of price responsiveness show that such mechanisms can serve to match the behavior of consumers to variations in supply, and, as such, boost grid stability (Desai, 2013).

The level of awareness and perceived affordability are important factors in influencing consumer willingness to take dynamic tariffs Yuniarto (2024). Findings of studies conducted in Indian states indicate that the implementation of ToU tariffs lowers energy wastage and enhances the system load factors Talhar and Bodkhe (2019). ToU structures are proven to be effective in terms of encouraging consumers to switch consumption to off-peak times in meta-analyses and case studies Dutta (2015) and PWC (2010).

Nonetheless, there is little empirical research of behavioral reactions on the territory of APSPDCL, especially on socio-demographic motivators and adaptive behavior patterns in reaction to tariff alterations. This loophole is one of the major shortcomings in the comprehension of the dynamics of the pricing mechanisms at the micro-level within the South Indian settings.

RESEARCH GAP

Although dynamic electricity pricing has shown levels of proven advantages at both global and national levels, there is little evidence of the same at the micro-level specifically on the state of Andhra Pradesh, South India. The introduction of dynamic tariffs by APSPDCL in the recent period gives an opportunity to study consumer responsiveness in an integrated analysis of domestic, commercial and industrial consumer segments as never before.

There is no extensive literature on the association of tariff design, behavior elasticity, and socio-economic conditions (awareness and affordability) on the basis of one empirical study. The study builds upon previous studies by offering granular, segment-level evidence on the responsiveness of various APSPDCL customers to dynamic price information in relation to various income, education, tariff awareness and access to technology-related factors- adding key micro-behavioral information to the energy economics and tariff policy in the context of emerging economies.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze the effect of dynamic tariff mechanisms on electricity consumption patterns among APSPDCL consumers.
2. To examine the behavioral and economic determinants influencing consumer responsiveness to dynamic pricing.
3. To evaluate differential responses across consumer categories (domestic, commercial, industrial) under varying tariff mechanisms.
4. To recommend policy reforms for improving efficiency and equity in the implementation of dynamic tariffs.

HYPOTHESES

- H1: There is no significant change in the consumption patterns of electricity by the consumers of APSPDCL with the implementation of dynamic tariffs.

- H2: The consumer responsiveness to dynamic tariffs is highly dependent on socioeconomic and demographic factors.
- H3: The reaction of consumers to dynamic changes in tariffs is more efficient in the case of industrial consumers as opposed to the residence consumers.

DATA COLLECTION

In this research, the mixed-method research design involving both primary and secondary sources of data is used.

Primary Data: Stratified random sampling was used to conduct a structured survey on 600 APSPDCL consumers in three regions namely Chittoor, Nellore and Tirupati. The questionnaire based on the known frameworks Yuniarto (2024) and PwC (2010), was used to measure socio-demographic data, knowledge of tariff, patterns of consumption, and willingness to change among the consumers.

Secondary Data: Sources of secondary data, the APSPDCL tariff orders (APSPDCL, 2025) and the

Electricity Regulatory Commission filings and government energy datasets were considered, giving attention to the records of energy consumption prior to and after the introduction of the dynamic tariffs.

ANALYSIS TOOLS

To achieve high-quality statistical testing, SPSS (v28) and R will be used in quantitative analysis.

- Descriptive statistics determine the trends in consumption and the level of awareness.
- Paired sample t-tests and ANOVA are used to compare changes in pre- and post-tariff consumption.
- Multiple Regression Analysis establishes the determinants of responsiveness to dynamic pricing.
- Factor Analysis gives derived underlying constructs of behavioral adaptation.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	360	60
	Female	240	40
Age Group	18–30 years	120	20
	31–50 years	290	48.3
	Above 50 years	190	31.7
Education Level	Secondary	210	35
	Graduate	260	43.3
	Postgraduate	130	21.7
Nature of Consumer	Domestic	350	58.3
	Commercial	150	25
	Industrial	100	16.7

Source: Primary survey, 2025 (APSPDCL consumer sample)

Table 1. Demographic Profile of APSPDCL Respondents (n = 600)

There is equal diversity in the sample of respondents in terms of consumer segments. The male (60.0) and female (40.0) proportion was in line with the conventional household intentions in power management. The age group of 31 50 years (48.3) represents the majority group, in that they are the active household decision-makers sensitive to the tariff awareness campaigns. Regarding education, 65.0 percent of the respondents were graduate or postgraduate education, which means that they are

fairly literate to receive tariff structures and energy optimization messages.

The percentages of 58.3% 25.0% and 16.7% on domestic, commercial, and industrial consumers are very close to the overall consumer composition of APSPDCL. This culturally diverse population provides a strong basis for analysing behavioural responses to dynamic pricing.

Variable	Mean (Units) Before	Mean (Units) After	Mean Difference	Std. Deviation	t-value	Sig. (p)
Domestic Consumers	285.6	265.4	20.2	46.8	5.87	0.001**
Commercial Consumers	535.2	496.8	38.4	72.5	6.94	0.000**
Industrial Consumers	2250.7	2135.2	115.5	310.4	3.12	0.002**

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Electricity Consumption before and After Dynamic Tariff Adoption

Note: $p < 0.05$ indicates a statistically significant difference in consumption patterns pre- and post-dynamic tariff implementation.

There was a reduction in mean consumption in all categories of consumers with the introduction of Time-of-Use (ToU) tariffs which proved that behavior has changed in response to tariff induced signals.

Mean consumption in all categories dropped significantly following the implementation. The domestic users cut the consumption by 20.2 units on average, commercial consumers by 38.4 units, and industrial facilities by 115.5 units every month. The

results of paired t-test ($p < 0.05$) reveal statistically significant decrease in total energy demand on a segmental basis. These results conform to PwC India (2010) and Hao (2024) according to which the ToU tariff framework leads to quantifiable behavioral response and peak-load avoidance.

The analysis confirms H1- that a dynamic tariff can make a great change in the behavior of energy consumption. Consumers transferred peak-hour loads to other times and the total monthly electricity consumption fell by a quantified 710 percent. This change of behavior is in line with time-based elasticity of national ToD tariffs studies.

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	t-value	Sig. (p)	Interpretation
Income Level	0.412	6.85	0.000**	Significant predictor; higher income leads to greater adaptability.
Awareness of Tariff Structure	0.265	4.21	0.000**	Awareness positively influences responsiveness.
Household Size	0.188	2.94	0.004*	Larger households more responsive due to bill-saving incentives.

Education Level	0.143	2.41	0.016*	Higher education correlates with rational consumption behavior.
Tariff Mode (Fixed vs. ToU)	-0.322	-3.96	0.000**	Time-of-Use tariffs significantly reduce excessive consumption.

Model Summary: $R^2 = 0.64$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.61$, $F(5,594) = 56.73$, $p < 0.01$

Table 3. Regression Analysis: Determinants of Tariff Responsiveness among APSPDCL Consumers

Consumer responsiveness is greatly affected by socioeconomic factors as well as the awareness factors thus H1 and H2 are valid.

Regression analysis shows the presence of strong explanatory power (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.61$). Income ($= 0.412$) and awareness ($= 0.265$) had significant impact on responsiveness and it was demonstrated that more informed and high-income households are more likely to use consumption management strategies. The household size ($= 0.188$) had a positive correlation as well, which denoted the collective responsibility in energy consumption. Education ($= 0.143$) also turned

out to be a less strong yet significantly important positive determinant implying that knowledge helps to understand tariff complexity. A negative value of tariff mode of (-0.322) indicates that ToU-adapted consumers attain lower net consumption relative to that of the static tariff users.

The findings confirm H2, which is that socio-economic and cognitive factors, tariff awareness, and income to a larger extent cause responsiveness in dynamic pricing schemes. These lessons emphasize the need to increase consumer involvement using specific awareness campaigns and online interaction.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	Sig. (p)
Between Groups	316.24	2	158.12	8.75	0.000**
Within Groups	10791.6	597	18.08		
Total	11107.9	599			

Table 4. ANOVA Test: Differential Response across Consumer Categories

There is substantial difference in the level of responsiveness among domestic, commercial and industrial users which confirms H3 that industrial users are more responsive and efficient in running operations with dynamic pricing systems.

The outcomes of the ANOVA ($F = 8.75$, $p < 0.05$) show that the differences between the categories of consumers are statistically significant. The industrial users were more flexible, as there were already automation, smart metering, and cost-saving priorities, and domestic users were moderate, their responsiveness was predetermined by tariff awareness, but limited by the need of convenience.

Business organizations were intermediate respondents. This inter-category difference highlights the heterogeneity of elasticity that is evident among the Indian states with the implementation of the ToD systems.

The H 3 hypothesis that the industrial consumers are more efficient to the ToU tariff models is proven. With the help of the technology-based energy management mechanisms, the industrial consumers are more elastic and effective in their scheduling of loads. Smaller commercial and domestic consumers require a more long-term awareness and incentive system in order to boost their participation, however.

Factor	Eigenvalue	Variance Explained	Major Item Loadings
F1: Price Sensitivity and Load Scheduling	2.85	28.5%	Price sensitivity, load scheduling behavior
F2: Awareness and Technology Usage	1.64	16.4%	Tariff awareness, smart meter adoption
F3: Conservation Attitude	1.22	12.2%	Environmental concern, conservation ethic
F4: Bill Monitoring and Tariff Understanding	1.05	10.5%	Bill transparency, tariff comprehension
Cumulative Variance Explained: 67.6%			

Table 5. Factor Analysis Results: Behavioral Dimensions of Energy Consumption

The four major behavioral constructs that accounted 67.6% of the total variance in dynamic tariff adaptability were found by the use of exploratory factor analysis:

Price Sensitivity and Load Scheduling (F1, 28.5%): The primary construct, and it corresponds to the awareness of consumers on costs and the readiness to re-plan activities depending on the changes in prices.

Awareness and Technology Usage (F2, 16.4%): Measures the significance of the tariff literacy and the availability of smart meters in facilitating a behavioral change.

Conservation Attitude (F3, 12.2%): The measure of the environmental consciousness and the motivational drive towards energy conservation among urban respondents.

Bill Monitoring and Tariff Understanding (F4, 10.5%): The importance of a clear communication of bills in helping to make informed decisions.

The constructs show that consumer decision on consumption under dynamic tariffs is multidimensional. Financial motivation, the clarity of information, access to technologies, and the environmental values lead to responsiveness.

DISCUSSION

Alteration in Consumption Behavior: The results of the paired t-tests show large consumption decreases in all segments after the ToU implementation which implies that consumers have a latent price sensitivity that can be activated with the implementation of dynamic tariffs. These trends of highest absolute decrease by industrial consumers (115.5 units/month) are indicative of their flexibility of operations and need to control costs unlike household-based reductions (20.2 units/month) that are subject to their necessities of consumption.

Social economic and Behavioral Drivers: The analysis of the regression highlights that income and tariff awareness are better predictors of responsiveness as compared to demographic variables alone. This result is in line with the literature of behavioral economics which stresses that consumers make decisions based on limited rationality in understanding price information (Kahn-Lang, J. (2025). Without controlling production factors, the high-income households have more discretionary spending and financial ability to invest into enabling technologies (smart appliances, time-programmable systems). Equally, the educated consumers have better abilities of decoding tariff structure and finding optimization opportunities.

The asymmetric technology access and awareness would be manifested in the heterogeneous responsiveness among consumer segments. Industrial consumers enjoy purposeful energy management infrastructure, and low-income residential consumers can often have no smart metering access, and may be too tariff illiterate, to take advantage of off-peak price differentials.

Consumer Segments and Behavioral Constructs: Factor analysis helps to realize that price sensitivity is not the sole fuel to tariff responsiveness but rather an effect of interaction of various behavioral and contextual factors. The price sensitivity alone (F1) only explains 28.5 percent of the variance; the rest 72.5 percent is spread on the dimension of awareness, conservation attitudes, and bill transparency. This confirms that only economic interventions (it does not require a complementary change in awareness and infrastructure efforts) are not enough to maximize the demand-response.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The results of the empirical study provide practical suggestions to APSPDCL and Indian power distribution utility in general:

Segmented Tariff Design: There should be explicit design of dynamic tariff structures to various consumer segments. ToU options (interval-based or real-time pricing) that allow customers to manage their loads in granular steps are available to industrial buyers. A more basic ToU structure (e.g., two- or three-time blocks) with easy communication are needed by residential consumers.

Consumer Awareness and Education: Favored campaigns should focus on tariff logic and transparency in the calculation of bills, especially among the low-income families. The introduction of smart meters must be accompanied by digital literacy courses that would help people understand their tariffs.

Smart Meter Implementation and Transparency of the Billing: It is also necessary to increase the pace of implementing advanced metering infrastructure. Online customer feedbacks of consumption in real time and digitized bill presentation improves consumer response to price cues.

Protections on affordability: Dynamic tariffs must include protections that help ensure that low-income households facing limitations to use continue to be able to access low-cost baseline consumption levels to avoid regressive distributional consequences.

Technology-Enabled Demand Response: The extension of the behavioral flexibility by investing in technologies of demand management (programmable thermostats, smart appliances, automated load scheduling) will be of benefit especially to the residential consumers.

Pilot Programs and monitoring: The introduction of dynamic tariffs should be done in phases and monitoring consumption trends, consumer satisfaction and effects of bills, allows the refinement of policies.

CONCLUSION

The study illustrates the fact that dynamic electricity tariffs, especially Time-of-Use (ToU) tariffs, are related with handing over a high percentage of electricity consumption, in residential, commercial, and industrial consumer groups served by APSPDCL. It is through the analysis that we find that consumers react significantly to price signals when they have the right information and access to technology.

The research determines income, education level, and awareness about tariffs as the main socioeconomic predictors of the responsiveness and technological ability and flexibility of operation as the main differences between industrial and residential consumer behavior. The results place the results in the current energy economics literature because they present micro-level behavioral data in the context of an emerging economy, and show that the effectiveness of demand-response is dependent on the consistency of tariff design, consumer literacy, and facilitating infrastructure.

Dynamic tariff mechanisms can provide APSPDCL with an avenue toward the twin goals of enhanced grid reliability due to the minimization of peak loads, and financial sustainability due to the cost-reflective pricing, which is consistent with consumption patterns. To realize these results, the strategy should be holistic and involve rational tariff design, effective

consumer education, smart meters network, and equity protection against vulnerable groups.

REFERENCES

1. APSPDCL. (2025). Electricity Retail Supply Tariff Order 2024–2025. Andhra Pradesh Southern Power Distribution Company Limited.
2. Borenstein, S. (2002). Dynamic Pricing, Advanced Metering, and Demand Response in Electricity Markets. University of California Energy Institute.
3. CEEW. (2019). What ails DISCOMs? A case study of Andhra Pradesh and Gujarat. Centre for Energy, Environment and Water.
4. Chowdhury, N. (2024, January 9). Dynamic electricity pricing in India: Evolving consumer-centric markets. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.
5. Desai, K. R. (2013). A dynamic pricing approach on electricity prices in the Indian context. Indian Institute of Management Working Paper Series.
6. Dutta, G. (2015). Dynamic pricing of electricity: A survey of related research. Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad.
7. Dutta, G., & Mitra, S. (2017). A literature review on dynamic pricing of electricity. *Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management*, 16(7), 674–692.
8. Forum of Regulators. (2010). Implementation and impact analysis of time-of-day (ToD) tariff in India. PricewaterhouseCoopers.
9. Huang, H. (2024). Dynamic pricing optimization for commercial energy systems. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 12(1), 1329227.
10. Kahn-Lang, J. (2025). A meta-analysis of time-based electricity rates. Resources for the Future Working Paper 25-04.
11. Talhar, A. S., & Bodkhe, S. B. (2019). Study and analysis of dynamic pricing in India and proposing for residential consumers in Maharashtra. *HELIX*, 9(5), 4870–4877.
12. The Coastal Times. (2025, September 26). Andhra Pradesh electricity bills may now depend on the clock.
13. Tyagi, A., & Tongia, R. (2023). Green Electricity Tariffs: Pricing and Other Challenges. Centre for Social and Economic Progress.
14. Yuniarto, H. A. (2024). Customer's response to dynamic pricing in utility energy tariff quality and reliability. *Energy Reports*, 10(3), 220–234.

HOW TO CITE: Subramanyam Mutyala^{1*}, Lakshmipathi K. N.², Buvaneswari P.³, Impact Of Dynamic Tariff Mechanisms On Energy Consumption Behavior: Evidence From APSPDCL Consumers, *Int. J. Sci. R. Tech.*, 2026, 3 (8), 217-224. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21819523>