Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2026 3(8)

A Multidisciplinary peer-reviewed Journal
www.ijsrtjournal.com [ISSN: 2394-7063]

Original Article

Transforming The Director (Finance) Into A Strategic Financial Leader: A Framework For Financial Governance, Accountability And Sustainability In Public Universities

Mohammad Nazmul Huda*

FCA, FCMA, FCPA, CA, ACCA, CPFA, Kustia Sugar Mills KTD Jogoti Khustia 7002

ABSTRACT

The financial environment of public universities has become increasingly complex as institutions face expanding educational responsibilities, research demands, infrastructure requirements, regulatory obligations, digital transformation, and pressure for efficient and accountable use of public resources. In this environment, the traditional conception of the Director (Finance) as primarily an accounting, budgeting, and payment-processing officer is increasingly inadequate. This conceptual article argues that the Director (Finance) should be positioned as a strategic institutional leader who integrates financial management with governance, risk management, performance monitoring, digital transformation, and long-term institutional sustainability. Drawing on the supplied *Director (Finance) Handbook* and internationally recognized frameworks on public-sector governance, budgeting, internal control, risk management, and auditing, the article develops a Strategic Finance Leadership Framework for public universities. The framework integrates financial planning, budgeting, accounting, treasury management, procurement, internal control, audit, compliance, risk management, digital financial systems, performance information, and strategic decision-making. The article further identifies four competency domains—technical, managerial, behavioural, and digital—as essential to contemporary university financial leadership. It concludes that strengthening the strategic role of the Director (Finance) can improve financial discipline while simultaneously enhancing transparency, accountability, institutional resilience, evidence-based decision-making, and sustainable resource utilization.

Keywords: Director (Finance); strategic financial leadership; financial governance; public universities; accountability; internal control; risk management; digital transformation; financial sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

Public universities are complex organizations in which financial decisions influence virtually every major institutional activity. Teaching, research, infrastructure development, human-resource management, student services, procurement, information technology, and institutional development all require effective financial planning and control. Consequently, university financial management cannot be reduced to bookkeeping, budget preparation, expenditure authorization, and payment processing.

The changing nature of public-sector governance has strengthened the relationship between financial management and institutional performance. The International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) and the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA), for example, emphasize that good public-sector governance involves improved decision-making, efficient use of resources, stakeholder engagement, scrutiny, oversight, and accountability. Similarly, the OECD's budgetary-governance principles emphasize strategic alignment, transparency, reliable public-finance information, active budget monitoring, performance and value for money, long-term sustainability, risk management, and independent audit.

These principles have important implications for university financial leadership. A university's finance function must not only ensure that transactions are properly recorded; it must also generate information that enables institutional leaders to understand financial conditions, assess alternatives, manage risks, and allocate scarce resources strategically.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The supplied *Director (Finance) Handbook* adopts precisely this broader perspective. It identifies public university financial administration as encompassing budgeting, accounting, treasury management, payroll, procurement, internal audit, external audit, taxation and VAT, project finance, asset management, ERP and financial information systems, leadership, ethics, and governance. The Handbook further characterizes the contemporary Director (Finance) as a **Strategic Financial Leader, Governance Advisor, Risk Management Specialist, and Institutional Decision Partner**.

This article therefore examines a fundamental question:

How can the Director (Finance) be transformed from a predominantly administrative financial officer into a strategic financial leader capable of strengthening governance, accountability, risk management, digital transformation, and financial sustainability in public universities?

The article is conceptual rather than empirical. It synthesizes the supplied professional framework with established international governance, budgeting, internal-control, risk-management, and public-sector-audit frameworks. On that basis, it proposes an integrated Strategic Finance Leadership Framework suitable for adaptation by public universities.

2. Conceptual Background

2.1 From Financial Administration to Financial Governance

Financial administration traditionally emphasizes compliance with approved rules and procedures. Its major activities include preparing budgets, recording transactions, maintaining accounts, processing payments, managing cash, preparing financial statements, and responding to audit observations.

Financial governance is broader. It asks whether financial resources are being managed in a manner that is transparent, accountable, risk-aware, strategically aligned, and capable of producing institutional value.

The distinction is important because an institution may comply with individual financial procedures while still experiencing weak strategic financial management. For example, expenditure may be properly authorized but poorly prioritized; budgets may be formally prepared but inadequately monitored; financial statements may be accurate but not sufficiently analytical to support management decisions.

The OECD's budgetary-governance framework reinforces this broader approach by connecting budgets with strategic priorities, transparency, budget execution, performance, value for money, long-term sustainability, fiscal risks, and independent audit.

Accordingly, financial governance should be viewed as an integrated system in which:

Planning → Budgeting → Resource Allocation → Financial Control → Risk Management → Audit → Reporting → Strategic Decision-Making

form a continuous institutional cycle.

2.2 The Strategic Role of the Director (Finance)

The strategic Director (Finance) is not simply the person who controls expenditure. The role involves translating financial information into institutional intelligence.

The Handbook describes the Director (Finance) as a strategic leader who connects financial resources with institutional outcomes. This perspective is consistent with broader public-sector governance principles that emphasize informed decision-making and efficient use of public resources.

A strategic Director (Finance) should therefore participate in questions such as:

- What resources are available?
- What institutional priorities require funding?
- What is the financial cost of proposed initiatives?
- What risks are associated with particular investments?
- What alternative financing or resource-allocation options exist?
- Are institutional resources generating adequate value?

- What financial consequences may emerge over the medium and long term?

This repositioning does not weaken traditional financial controls. Rather, it gives them strategic meaning.

3. Financial Governance in Public Universities

3.1 Budgetary Governance

Budgeting is one of the most powerful instruments through which institutional strategy becomes operational. A university budget should therefore not be viewed merely as an annual statement of expected receipts and expenditure. It should function as a strategic resource-allocation mechanism.

The OECD recommends aligning budgets with strategic priorities, ensuring transparent and reliable financial information, actively monitoring budget execution, incorporating performance and value for money, and managing longer-term risks.

For public universities, these principles imply that budget preparation should incorporate:

1. institutional strategic priorities;
2. realistic revenue projections;
3. expenditure prioritization;
4. performance considerations;
5. risk assessment;
6. medium- and long-term financial implications; and
7. systematic variance analysis.

The Handbook's financial-dashboard framework similarly identifies budget allocation, utilization, variance, revenue status, expenditure status, cash flow, liabilities, audit status, and compliance issues as important management indicators.

Thus, the Director (Finance) should move from **budget preparation** to **budget intelligence**.

3.2 Accounting and Financial Reporting

Accounting remains the foundation of financial accountability. However, contemporary financial reporting should do more than document historical transactions.

Management requires information that is:

- accurate;
- complete;
- timely;
- relevant; and
- understandable.

The Handbook explicitly identifies these qualities as financial-reporting standards and highlights automated report generation, ERP-based reporting, online dashboards, and data visualization as components of digital financial reporting.

This approach is consistent with the broader governance principle that public organizations require reliable information for effective decision-making and accountability.

The Director (Finance) should therefore ensure that financial reports answer not only **"What happened?"** but also:

- Why did it happen?
- What does it mean?
- What risks are emerging?
- What action is required?
- What is likely to happen next?

This transforms accounting from a record-keeping function into a strategic information system.

3.3 Treasury and Cash Management

Liquidity is essential to institutional stability. A university may have an approved budget but still face operational difficulties if cash inflows and payment obligations are poorly managed.

Strategic treasury management should therefore monitor:

- current cash position;
- expected receipts;

- committed expenditure;
- outstanding liabilities;
- payment schedules;
- short-term liquidity requirements; and
- significant financial commitments.

The Director (Finance) should use cash-flow information to support both operational and strategic decisions.

3.4 Procurement and Financial Governance

Procurement represents an important intersection between financial management, operational efficiency, compliance, and institutional risk.

The Handbook's procurement-payment framework requires verification of approvals, procurement procedures, delivery, invoice accuracy, inspection, contractual requirements, and payment authorization before payment is processed.

This illustrates a fundamental governance principle: **financial authorization should be supported by evidence, verification, and accountability**.

Procurement should therefore be evaluated not merely on whether procedures were followed, but also on whether the process achieved economy, efficiency, quality, timeliness, and value for money.

4. From Financial Administration to Strategic Financial Leadership

The transformation can be represented through three broad stages.

Traditional Financial Administration	Strategic Financial Leadership
Transaction processing	Strategic resource management
Accounting records	Decision-oriented financial intelligence
Annual budget preparation	Strategic and performance-informed budgeting
Payment authorization	Risk-based financial control
Audit response	Governance and continuous improvement
Manual reporting	Digital dashboards and analytics
Expenditure control	Value-for-money management
Short-term liquidity	Long-term financial sustainability
Rule compliance	Compliance plus institutional performance

The transition should not be interpreted as replacing technical financial responsibilities. Instead, technical responsibilities become the foundation upon which strategic leadership is constructed.

The Director (Finance) should therefore operate at the intersection of:

Finance + Governance + Strategy + Risk + Technology + Performance.

5. Core Responsibilities of the Director (Finance)

5.1 Financial Planning and Resource Allocation

Strategic financial planning begins with institutional objectives. The Director (Finance) should participate in assessing the financial implications of strategic initiatives before resources are committed.

A proposed infrastructure project, research programme, technology investment, or institutional expansion should ideally be accompanied by:

- cost estimation;
- funding analysis;
- risk assessment;
- cash-flow implications;
- lifecycle cost analysis;
- sustainability assessment; and
- expected institutional outcomes.

Such analysis enables financial resources to be allocated on the basis of strategic priorities rather than merely historical expenditure patterns.

5.2 Internal Control

Internal control is a central component of financial governance. COSO's *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* was refreshed in 2013 and is designed to support effective controls relating to operations, reporting, and compliance. COSO also emphasizes that internal control has value beyond compliance and external financial reporting and can support organizational objectives, strategy, sustained growth, and confidence in information.

The Handbook similarly emphasizes internal controls, ethical culture, whistleblower mechanisms, regular review, and awareness training as components of fraud-risk management.

For university finance, key controls should include:

- segregation of duties;
- authorization controls;
- documentation;
- reconciliation;
- independent verification;
- access controls;
- exception reporting;
- supervisory review; and
- continuous monitoring.

The Handbook summarizes this philosophy through the principle:

"No Document, No Decision; No Verification, No Payment."

5.3 Audit and Compliance

Audit should not be understood merely as a mechanism for identifying mistakes after expenditure has occurred. It should contribute to institutional learning.

ISSAI 100 establishes fundamental principles for public-sector auditing, including ethics and independence, professional judgment, audit risk, documentation, communication, planning, reporting, and follow-up.

Similarly, ISSAI 200 establishes principles for auditing financial statements and other forms of financial information in the public sector.

The Handbook proposes risk-based audit, audit follow-up, compliance mechanisms, digital audit trails, and continuous monitoring as elements of contemporary university financial governance.

The strategic objective should therefore be to move from:

Audit Observation → Response

toward:

Audit Finding → Root-Cause Analysis → Corrective Action → Monitoring → Institutional Learning.

5.4 Financial Risk Management

Financial decisions always involve uncertainty. Universities face risks associated with budgets, cash flow, procurement, projects, assets, information systems, regulatory compliance, fraud, and changing economic conditions.

ISO 31000:2018 provides principles and guidelines for identifying, analyzing, evaluating, treating, monitoring, and communicating risks, and explicitly connects risk management with governance, strategy, planning, reporting, policies, and organizational culture.

This has direct relevance to the Director (Finance). Risk management should not be an isolated compliance activity. It should be incorporated into:

- budgeting;
- procurement;
- investment decisions;
- project appraisal;
- cash management;
- information systems;
- asset management; and
- strategic planning.

5.5 Ethics, Transparency and Accountability

Financial leadership ultimately depends on trust.

The Handbook identifies integrity, accountability, transparency, professional ethics, confidentiality, communication, analytical thinking, and problem-solving as behavioural competencies for the Director (Finance).

This is consistent with international public-sector governance principles emphasizing stakeholder engagement, scrutiny, oversight, accountability, and effective use of public resources.

A strategically effective finance office should therefore promote not only financial accuracy but also an institutional culture in which financial decisions can be explained, documented, reviewed, and justified.

6. Digital Transformation and ERP-Based Financial Management

Digitalization is reshaping the nature of financial management. ERP systems, electronic approvals, automated reporting, data analytics, dashboards, digital document management, and electronic audit trails can improve financial visibility and control.

The Handbook identifies ERP utilization, spreadsheet analysis, financial dashboards, data analytics, digital document management, cybersecurity awareness, and electronic workflows as important digital competencies for the Director (Finance).

Digital financial management can provide:

1. faster reporting;
2. improved data consistency;
3. stronger audit trails;
4. real-time monitoring;
5. automated controls;
6. improved management visibility;
7. exception-based reporting; and
8. stronger evidence for decision-making.

However, digitalization itself does not guarantee good governance. Poor processes can simply become poor digital processes. Successful transformation requires process redesign, user training, data governance, access management, cybersecurity, and institutional leadership.

The importance of information-system controls is also recognized in public-sector auditing. INTOSAI guidance notes that the transition to computerized information systems makes appropriate capacity to audit information-system controls increasingly important, including controls concerning confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information and data.

Therefore, digital financial transformation should be treated as **organizational transformation supported by technology**, rather than technology procurement alone.

7. Financial Sustainability and Resource Optimization

Financial sustainability is a central concern for contemporary higher education institutions. Research has emphasized the importance of strategic planning, financial efficiency, revenue structures, and financial indicators in evaluating the sustainability of higher education institutions. Sazonov et al. (2015), for example, developed a framework for assessing financial sustainability and emphasized the increasing importance of strategic planning and efficiency in higher education.

More recent research similarly identifies revenue diversification, governance quality, digital transformation, strategic management, and risk management as relevant determinants of higher-education financial stability.

The Handbook presents financial sustainability as a combination of:

Revenue Growth + Cost Efficiency + Investment Strategy + Risk Management + Strategic Planning.

It also identifies potential sustainability indicators such as revenue diversity, operating margin, cash reserves, research-funding growth, and endowment growth.

This suggests that the Director (Finance) should participate in developing institutional financial resilience through:

- improved cost management;
- better asset utilization;
- research funding;
- appropriate external partnerships;
- alumni engagement;
- consultancy and professional services where permitted;
- institutional development funds; and
- diversified but responsible revenue strategies.

The objective should not simply be to increase revenue. Revenue generation should be compatible with institutional mission, regulatory requirements, governance standards, and long-term sustainability.

8. Proposed Strategic Finance Leadership Framework

Based on the supplied Handbook and the international governance frameworks reviewed above, this article proposes the following **Strategic Finance Leadership Framework (SFLF)**.

Institutional Strategy
↓
Financial Planning & Resource Mobilization
↓
Budgeting & Strategic Resource Allocation
↓
Accounting + Treasury + Procurement
↓
Internal Control + Risk Management
↓
Audit + Compliance
↓
Digital Finance + Data Analytics
↓
Performance Monitoring & Financial Reporting
↓
Strategic Decision Support
↓
Institutional Sustainability, Accountability & Trust

Figure 1. Strategic Finance Leadership Framework

The framework is cyclical rather than linear. Financial reports influence subsequent planning; audit findings influence controls; risk assessments influence resource allocation; and performance information influences future budgets.

8.1 Four Competency Pillars

Technical Competence

The Handbook identifies budgeting, financial reporting, accounting, treasury, procurement finance, tax and VAT, payroll, pension, project finance, asset management, audit compliance, and ERP systems among the technical competencies required for the Director (Finance).

Managerial Competence

Strategic planning, decision-making, resource allocation, leadership, performance management, change management, conflict resolution, and time management constitute the managerial dimension.

Behavioural Competence

Integrity, accountability, transparency, ethics, confidentiality, communication, analytical thinking, and problem-solving create the behavioural foundation of financial leadership.

Digital Competence

ERP, data analytics, dashboards, digital document management, cybersecurity awareness, and electronic workflows support the transformation toward data-driven financial governance.

The four pillars are mutually dependent. Technical knowledge without ethical judgment may produce procedural compliance without institutional trust. Digital skills without financial expertise may produce technically sophisticated but strategically weak systems. Managerial skills without risk awareness may lead to poor resource allocation. Strategic leadership therefore requires integration of all four.

9. Challenges and Institutional Implications

9.1 Institutional Fragmentation

Financial information is often distributed among finance, accounts, procurement, human resources, projects, academic departments, and administrative units. Fragmentation can prevent senior management from obtaining a consolidated view of institutional financial health.

9.2 Manual and Paper-Based Processes

Manual systems may create delays, duplication, documentation gaps, and limited management visibility. Digitalization can address these weaknesses, but only when processes are redesigned.

9.3 Skills Gap

The expanded role of the Director (Finance) requires capabilities beyond traditional accounting. The Handbook identifies professional development areas including CA, CMA, ACCA, CIA, CISA, project management, risk management, data analytics, and ERP training.

Continuous professional development is therefore not optional; it is a strategic requirement.

9.4 Compliance versus Performance

A university may be highly compliance-oriented while still failing to optimize resources.

The solution is not weaker compliance. Instead, universities should adopt a **compliance-plus-performance model** in which financial decisions are simultaneously assessed for:

Legality + Regularity + Economy + Efficiency + Effectiveness + Sustainability.

This approach is consistent with OECD principles linking budgetary governance with performance, evaluation, and value for money.

9.5 Limited Use of Financial Analytics

Financial reports are often descriptive rather than analytical. The Handbook proposes trend analysis and a structured variance-reporting process involving identification of variance, cause analysis, explanation, recommended action, and monitoring.

A strategic finance office should therefore develop dashboards and analytical reports that enable management to identify emerging problems before they become major financial risks.

10. Recommendations

10.1 Reposition the Director (Finance)

The Director (Finance) should be recognized institutionally as a strategic financial leader and decision-support partner.

10.2 Establish Integrated Financial Governance

Budgeting, accounting, treasury, procurement, internal control, audit, compliance, risk management, and financial reporting should operate within a coordinated governance architecture.

10.3 Introduce Strategic Financial Dashboards

Management dashboards should monitor budget utilization, revenue, expenditure, liquidity, liabilities, audit status, compliance, and major financial risks.

10.4 Strengthen Risk-Based Audit

Audit resources should be directed toward high-risk areas, and audit findings should be linked to root-cause analysis and corrective action. ISSAI 100 emphasizes planning, risk, documentation, reporting, and follow-up as fundamental elements of public-sector auditing.

10.5 Integrate Risk Management into Financial Decisions

The principles of ISO 31000 should inform institutional risk identification, assessment, treatment, monitoring, and communication.

10.6 Develop Digital Financial Architecture

Universities should progressively adopt ERP, electronic approvals, automated reporting, digital document management, data analytics, and secure financial dashboards.

10.7 Strengthen Professional Development

Continuous professional development should cover accounting, budgeting, audit, risk, taxation, procurement, digital finance, data analytics, cybersecurity, and strategic management.

10.8 Link Finance with Institutional Strategy

Major institutional initiatives should be accompanied by financial-impact analysis, lifecycle costing, risk assessment, and sustainability analysis.

10.9 Develop Responsible Revenue Diversification

Universities should explore legally and institutionally appropriate approaches to research funding, alumni engagement, consultancy, industry collaboration, development funds, and other sustainable funding mechanisms. The Handbook identifies several of these as potential components of a sustainable university-finance framework.

CONCLUSION

The role of the Director (Finance) in a public university is undergoing a fundamental conceptual transformation. Traditional financial administration remains necessary, but it is no longer sufficient. Contemporary universities require finance leaders who can integrate accounting accuracy with strategic planning, governance, risk management, digital transformation, performance analysis, and long-term sustainability.

This article has proposed that the Director (Finance) should be understood as a **Strategic Financial Leader** whose responsibilities extend beyond transaction processing and expenditure control. The supplied *Director (Finance) Handbook* provides a strong conceptual basis for this transformation by identifying technical, managerial, behavioural, and digital competencies and by positioning financial governance as an institutional leadership function.

International frameworks reinforce this perspective. Public-sector governance guidance emphasizes effective decision-making, resource efficiency, accountability, and oversight. OECD budgetary-governance principles connect budgeting with strategy, transparency, performance, value for money, risk, sustainability, and audit. COSO places internal control within the broader context of organizational objectives, strategy, reporting, compliance, and sustained performance. ISO 31000 emphasizes integrating risk management into governance, strategy, planning, reporting, and organizational culture. INTOSAI standards similarly emphasize professional, risk-aware, documented, and properly followed-up public-sector auditing.

The proposed Strategic Finance Leadership Framework therefore positions financial management as a continuous institutional cycle:

Strategy → Planning → Budgeting → Control → Risk → Audit → Digital Information → Performance → Decision-Making → Sustainability.

The ultimate objective is not merely stronger financial control. It is stronger institutional performance through responsible stewardship of financial resources.

In this context, the future-ready Director (Finance) should be capable of answering not only **"Was the money spent correctly?"**, but also **"Was it spent strategically, efficiently, transparently, sustainably, and in support of institutional objectives?"**

That shift—from **financial administration to strategic financial leadership**—is likely to become increasingly important for public universities seeking stronger governance, greater accountability, improved resource utilization, and long-term institutional resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Agasisti, T., & Catalano, G. (2006). Governance models of university systems—Towards quasi-markets? Tendencies and perspectives: A European comparison. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 28(3), 245–262. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800600980056
2. Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. (2013). Internal control—Integrated framework. COSO. The framework was originally issued in 1992 and refreshed in 2013.
3. International Federation of Accountants, & Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy. (2014). International framework: Good governance in the public sector. IFAC.
4. International Organization for Standardization. (2018). ISO 31000:2018: Risk management—Guidelines. ISO.
5. International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions. (2019). ISSAI 100: Fundamental principles of public-sector auditing. INTOSAI.
6. International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions. (2020). ISSAI 200: Financial audit principles. INTOSAI.
7. Modugno, G., & Di Carlo, F. (2019). Financial sustainability of higher education institutions: A challenge for the accounting system. In Financial sustainability of public sector entities (pp. 165–184). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-06037-4_9
8. OECD. (2015). Recommendation of the Council on Budgetary Governance. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. The recommendation contains ten principles concerning strategic alignment, transparency, budget execution, performance, sustainability, risk, and independent audit.
9. Sazonov, S. P., Kharlamova, E. E., Chekhovskaya, I. A., & Polyanskaya, E. A. (2015). Evaluating financial sustainability of higher education institutions. Asian Social Science, 11(20), 34–42. https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n20p34

HOW TO CITE: Mohammad Nazmul Huda*, Transforming The Director (Finance) Into A Strategic Financial Leader: A Framework For Financial Governance, Accountability And Sustainability In Public Universities, Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2026, 3 (8), 414-423. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21884866